UNSYS digital

The Journal of Instrumentation, Automation, and System

Insights into Biomechanics and Motor Control in The Realm of Brain-Computer Interfaces

Ary Setijadi[†], Agus Sukoco[†], Agus Budiyono[ɻ], Jumraini Tammasse[‡], Retnaningsih[↗], Adre Mayza[↙], Gerard Anthonius Juswanto[♪].

[†]Research Center for Information Communication Technology, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia.

[ɻ]Indonesia Center for Technology Empowerment, CAP Solutions, Indonesia.

[‡]Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo General Hospital, Makassar, Indonesia.
[‡]Neuro-enginering Study Group, Neurology Department Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia.

[↗]Department of Neurology, Dr. Kariadi Hospital, Semarang, Indonesia.
[↗]Faculty of Medicine, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia.

[↙]Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo General Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia.
[↙]Neuro-engineering Study Group, Neurology Department University of Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia.

[♪]Biak General Hospital, Biak, Indonesia.
[♪]Neuro-engineering Study Group, Biak, Indonesia.

Abstract— This review provides a comprehensive exploration of the intersection between biomechanics, motor control, and brain-computer interfaces (BCIs). Focused on unraveling the insights derived from the amalgamation of these domains, the paper synthesizes current research and innovations. It delves into the biomechanical intricacies involved in translating neural signals into precise and rapid physical actions, a crucial aspect of BCI functionality. By examining studies at the intersection of neuroscience, biomechanics, and BCI technology, the review sheds light on the mechanisms governing motor control within the context of neural signal decoding. The paper also explores the practical implications of these insights for optimizing BCI performance in various applications, ranging from neuro-prosthetics to assistive technologies. By offering a comprehensive overview, this review aims to provide researchers, clinicians, and engineers with a nuanced understanding of the current state of knowledge in biomechanics and motor control within the realm of BCIs, paving the way for future advancements and applications in this dynamic field.

Keywords— brain-computer interfaces (BCIs), biomechanics, neuroprosthetics, assistive technologies.

Copyright© 2025. Published by UNSYSdigital. All rights reserved.
DOI: 10.21535/jnrff746

I. Introduction

A. Background

The field of brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) has emerged as a groundbreaking area of research, bridging the gap between the human brain and computing technology. BCIs facilitate direct communication between the brain and external devices, enabling individuals to control machines, prosthetics, or computers through neural signals. This section provides a contextual background to the overarching theme of the paper.

Corresponding author: Retnaningsih (e-mail: retnakuicu@gmail.com)
This paper was submitted on October 22nd, 2024, revised on June 15th, 2025, and accepted on August 31st, 2025.

1. *Brief Overview of Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs)*

Brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) are systems that translate neural activity into actionable commands without the need for traditional motor pathways. This subsection offers a concise exploration of the fundamental principles behind BCIs. By capturing neural signals through various means, such as electroencephalography (EEG) or invasive implants, BCIs decode these signals to initiate specific actions. The technological advancements in BCIs have opened new possibilities for individuals with motor disabilities, as well as applications in fields like neuro-prosthetics and assistive technologies.

2. *Importance of Understanding Biomechanics and Motor Control in BCI Functionality*

Understanding the biomechanics and motor control aspects is crucial for unlocking the full potential of BCIs. This subsection delves into the rationale behind investigating the intricate interplay between neural signals and physical actions. Biomechanics governs the mechanical aspects of movement, while motor control encompasses the neural processes orchestrating voluntary movements. Examining these aspects within the context of BCIs is essential for refining the precision and efficiency of translating neural intentions into tangible outcomes. By elucidating these connections, researchers can enhance the development and optimization of BCIs for various applications, ultimately improving the quality of life for individuals with motor impairments. This subsection sets the stage for the subsequent sections, emphasizing the necessity of a comprehensive exploration of biomechanics and motor control within the realm of BCIs.

B. Purpose of The Review

1. Synthesizing Insights from the Intersection of Biomechanics, Motor Control, and BCIs

The primary objective of this review is to synthesize insights derived from the convergence of biomechanics, motor control, and brain-computer interfaces (BCIs). As evidenced by numerous studies (Abiri et al., 2019; Cano et al., 2023; Frolov et al., 2017), understanding the intricate relationship between these domains is crucial for advancing the field of BCIs. By analyzing research findings across biomechanics and motor control, we aim to elucidate the mechanisms underpinning the translation of neural signals into precise and rapid physical actions. This synthesis provides a comprehensive overview of the current state of knowledge, identifying key patterns and principles that can inform the design and optimization of BCIs for various applications, from neuro-prosthetics to assistive technologies.

2. Current State of Research and Innovations in the Field

To comprehensively explore the current state of research and innovations in the field of brain-computer interfaces (BCIs), we delve into a rich array of studies from our referenced literature. Abdulkader et al. (2015) outlined the diverse applications and challenges of BCIs, highlighting their potential impact on various domains. This seminal work serves as a foundational reference, providing a panoramic view of the landscape that guides our understanding of BCIs.

Exploration by Barsotti et al. (2015) of a full upper limb robotic exoskeleton triggered by Motor Imagery-based BCIs exemplifies a noteworthy innovation. This technology offers insights into the intricate integration of biomechanics and BCIs, showcasing a practical application for reaching and grasping rehabilitation. Furthermore, the works of Frolov et al. (2013, 2016, 2017) present compelling evidence on the efficacy of BCI-controlled hand exoskeletons in post-stroke rehabilitation, emphasizing the positive effects on motor recovery. Their controlled studies contribute valuable data, reinforcing the potential practical implications of biomechanically informed BCIs.

Guger et al. (2003) explored the operability of EEG-based BCIs, shedding light on the practical challenges and feasibility of implementing such interfaces. Understanding the capabilities and limitations of current BCI technologies is vital for contextualizing the intersection between biomechanics and motor control. Additionally, Cano et al.'s (2023) research on using BCIs to evaluate the user experience in interactive systems contributes to a holistic understanding of the user-centered design aspects, which is crucial for optimizing BCIs in real-world applications.

Moreover, innovations in soft robotic glove rehabilitation for stroke, as investigated by Cheng et al. (2020), exemplify the interdisciplinary nature of BCI research, merging biomechanics, and neural control for therapeutic purposes. These advancements showcase the dynamic landscape of BCI research, constantly pushing the boundaries of what is achievable in terms of motor control and rehabilitation.

By synthesizing data from these diverse sources, our review aims to present a nuanced and up-to-date overview of the current state of BCI research. This exploration sets the stage for the subsequent sections, where we will delve deeper into the biomechanical intricacies and motor control mechanisms that underlie the functionality of BCIs.

Table 1 Current State of BCI Research

Trends	Sub Trends	Corresponding Innovation	Cited Works
Overview of BCIs: Applications and Challenges	Applications and Challenges of BCIs	Growing importance and diverse applications of BCIs	Abdulkader et al. (2015), Blankertz et al. (2006), Guger et al. (2003), Hochberg and Donoghue (2006)
EEG-Based BCIs: Paradigms and Advances	Advancements in EEG-Based BCIs	Comprehensive review of EEG-based BCI paradigms	Abiri et al. (2019), Hochberg and Donoghue (2006), Guger et al. (2003)
BCIs in Rehabilitation: Robotic Exoskeletons and Recovery	Integration of Robotics and BCIs in Rehabilitation	Full upper limb robotic exoskeleton for reaching and grasping	Barsotti et al. (2015), Frolov et al. (2017), Frolov et al. (2012), Frolov et al. (2016)
Enhancing Quality of Life for Older Adults	Applications for Older Adults	Improving the quality of life for older adults and elderly patients	Belkacem et al. (2020), Hochberg and Donoghue (2006)
BCIs in Motor Function Recovery	Motor Function Recovery	Recovery of motor function with hand exoskeleton controlled by BCI	Biryukova et al. (2016), Frolov et al. (2013)
BCI Technology Advancements: The Berlin BCI	Technological Innovations	EEG-based communication without subject training	Blankertz et al. (2006), Guger et al. (2003)
User Experience Evaluation using BCIs	User Experience Evaluation	Evaluating user experience in interactive systems	Cano et al. (2023), Landes (2017), Scherer et al. (2010)
Emerging Trends and Innovations in BCI Technology	Advancements in BCI Technology	Sensor-enabled cloud-based computing and multimodal approaches	Menghani et al. (2023), Sun et al. (2020), Miller et al. (2002)

II. Biomechanical Underpinnings of Neural Signal Translation

Understanding the biomechanical underpinnings of neural signal translation is paramount for unraveling the complexities inherent in brain-computer interfaces (BCIs). This section provides a comprehensive exploration of the interplay between neural signals, biomechanics, and the translation of intentions into physical actions.

A. Overview of Neural Signal Decoding in BCIs

Neural signal decoding in BCIs involves capturing and interpreting the intricate electrical patterns generated by the brain during various cognitive and motor processes. A key reference, the work of Abiri et al. (2019), elucidates the diverse paradigms employed in EEG-based BCIs. Electroencephalography (EEG) is a common method, allowing for non-invasive monitoring of brain activity, making it accessible for a broad range of applications. Innovations in decoding techniques, such as those explored by Blankertz et al. (2006) in the Berlin Brain-Computer Interface, highlight the evolution toward user-friendly systems that require minimal training.

B. Biomechanical Intricacies Involved in Translating Neural Signals into Physical Actions

1. Neuromuscular Interactions

The translation of decoded neural signals into physical actions relies heavily on the intricate interplay between the

nervous system and the muscular system. Studies by Frolov et al. (2017, 2016) on BCI-controlled hand exo-skeletons underscore the significance of understanding neuromuscular interactions in post-stroke rehabilitation. By decoding neural intentions and translating them into precise movements, BCIs can effectively bypass damaged neural pathways, offering new avenues for motor recovery.

Furthermore, the research of Cheng et al. (2020) on BCI-based soft robotic glove rehabilitation for stroke patients exemplifies the application of biomechanics to enhance neuromuscular interactions. The design and implementation of such devices necessitate a profound understanding of how neural signals can be harnessed to optimize movement, providing valuable insights into the potential therapeutic benefits of BCI-driven rehabilitation.

2. Kinematics and Dynamics of Movement

In the realm of BCIs, the translation of neural signals extends beyond neuromuscular interactions to encompass the kinematics and dynamics of movement. The work of Barsotti et al. (2015) on a full upper limb robotic exoskeleton reveals the importance of considering the kinematic aspects of movement in rehabilitation. This includes understanding joint angles, trajectories, and the coordination of multiple degrees of freedom, all of which contribute to the overall effectiveness of BCI-controlled devices.

The dynamics of movement, as explored in studies by Frolov et al. (2013, 2018), emphasize the need for adaptive control mechanisms in BCIs. The biomechanical assessment of Fugl-Meyer scores in post-stroke patients undergoing rehabilitation with a hand exoskeleton controlled by a BCI (Dzhalagoniya et al., 2018) further emphasizes the critical role of dynamic control in facilitating meaningful motor recovery.

In summary, this section elucidates the intricate relationship between neural signal decoding and biomechanics in the context of BCIs. By comprehensively exploring the neuromuscular interactions and the kinematics and dynamics of movement, we pave the way for a nuanced understanding of how BCIs bridge the gap between neural intentions and physical actions, with implications for rehabilitation, neuroprosthetics, and assistive technologies.

III. Motor Control Mechanisms in The Context of Neural Signal Decoding

The intricate relationship between motor control mechanisms and neural signal decoding within the context of brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) constitutes a pivotal aspect of understanding how intentional neural signals translate into precise physical actions. This section delves into the theoretical frameworks and models that underpin motor control, exploring both traditional perspectives and adaptations tailored for the unique demands of BCI systems.

A. Motor control theories and models

Motor control theories provide a foundation for understanding how the nervous system orchestrates voluntary movements. Traditional motor control theories, as elucidated by scholars like Shumway-Cook and Woollacott, have laid the groundwork for comprehending the neural processes involved in movement execution. These theories, rooted in concepts like feedback and feedforward control mechanisms, help elucidate the neural pathways responsible for coordinating and executing motor commands (Shumway-Cook & Woollacott, 2017).

1. Traditional motor control theories

Classic motor control theories, such as the hierarchical model and the equilibrium-point hypothesis, have been instrumental in shaping our understanding of how the brain organizes and executes motor commands. The hierarchical model posits a multilevel structure, where higher centers of the brain plan and initiate movements, while lower centers execute them (Feldman, 1980). The equilibrium-point hypothesis, on the other hand, emphasizes the role of reflexes in maintaining limb positions and movements (Feldman, 1986). These traditional theories provide a theoretical framework for comprehending the neural underpinnings of motor control.

2. Adaptations for BCI Systems

Adapting traditional motor control theories to suit the demands of BCIs requires a nuanced approach. The works of George and Lécuyer (2010) and Edlinger et al. (2009) highlight the need to integrate BCI systems seamlessly into the user's cognitive and motor processes. Passive BCIs, as discussed by George and Lécuyer, propose implicit interaction methods that do not require the user's explicit attention, offering a novel perspective on the integration of BCIs into daily activities.

Furthermore, the study by Frolov et al. (2017) on goal-oriented control of a virtual smart home environment through BCIs exemplifies the adaptation of motor control theories for practical applications. The integration of BCI technology into daily tasks necessitates a reevaluation of traditional motor control concepts to enhance user experience and efficiency.

In conclusion, this section provides a comprehensive exploration of motor control mechanisms within the realm of neural signal decoding in BCIs. By examining both traditional motor control theories and adaptations tailored for BCI systems, we aim to elucidate the theoretical foundations and practical implications that inform the translation of neural intentions into purposeful physical actions. This understanding is crucial for optimizing the design and functionality of BCIs across various applications, from neuroprosthetics to assistive technologies.

B. Examination of studies at the intersection of neuroscience, biomechanics, and BCI technology

This section delves into the convergence of neuroscience, biomechanics, and Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) technology, exploring studies that illuminate the neural representations of movement and feedback mechanisms within BCIs.

1. Neural representations of movement

Studies on neural representations of movement, such as the work by Lee et al. (2010) on EEG-based concentration power index transmission, underscore the dynamic relationship

between neural signals and intentional actions. Investigations into controlling Functional Electrical Stimulation (FES) exo-skeleton rehabilitation systems through BCIs, as conducted by Irimia et al. (2016) and Kotov et al. (2016), further exemplify the real-world applications of decoding neural representations for motor rehabilitation. Additionally, Leuthardt et al.'s (2006) experience with electro-corticography-based BCIs offers valuable insights into the challenges and potential of directly interfacing with cortical activity for movement control.

Furthermore, the study by Scherer et al. (2010) on the interaction with virtual and augmented reality environments using non-invasive BCIs showcases the integration of neural representations into immersive experiences. These diverse studies collectively contribute to our understanding of how the brain's representation of movement can be harnessed to control external devices through BCIs.

2. Feedback mechanisms in motor control within BCIs

Feedback mechanisms play a pivotal role in refining motor control within the context of BCIs. The research by Xu et al. (2014) on a closed-loop BCI triggering an active ankle-foot orthosis illustrates the importance of feedback loops for inducing cortical neural plasticity. Additionally, Simeral et al.'s (2021) study on home use of a percutaneous wireless intracortical BCI demonstrates the integration of real-time feedback for individuals with tetraplegia, highlighting the potential for adaptive BCI technologies in daily life.

Moreover, the examination of brain-computer interface-driven hand orthosis by King et al. (2011) showcases how feedback mechanisms contribute to the noninvasive control of assistive devices. The work of Osuagwu et al. (2017) investigating the reliability of implicit motor imagery as a strategy for BCIs further emphasizes the importance of feedback for enhancing the efficacy and user experience of BCI systems.

IV. Practical Implications for BCI Optimization

Advancements in understanding the intricate interplay of biomechanics, motor control, and neural signal decoding within the realm of brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) bear significant practical implications. This section delves into the tangible applications of these insights, with a focus on neuro-prosthetics and the optimization of BCI performance.

A. Applications of Insights in Neuro-prosthetics

1. Enhancing Prosthetic Limb Control

The integration of biomechanics and BCI technology marks a groundbreaking frontier in the field of neuro-prosthetics, offering unprecedented opportunities to enhance prosthetic limb control. Biomechanical insights play a pivotal role in understanding the intricate coordination of neural signals with biomechanical processes, thereby enabling more intuitive and refined control over prosthetic limbs.

The works of Frolov et al. (2016, 2017) have demonstrated the effectiveness of BCI-controlled hand exo-skeletons in the context of post-stroke rehabilitation. These studies highlight the potential for individuals with neurological impairments to regain control over their paralyzed limbs by utilizing BCIs. By decoding the neural intentions associated with specific movements, BCIs can translate these signals into precise commands for prosthetic devices, fostering a seamless integration between neural processes and artificial limbs.

Moreover, advancements in neuro-prosthetics benefit from an understanding of neuromuscular interactions gained through biomechanical studies. The nuanced interplay between the nervous system and muscles is crucial for mimicking natural movement patterns in prosthetic limbs. Prosthesis designs can be optimized to align with the biomechanical principles of human movement, ensuring a more fluid and responsive interaction between the user's neural commands and the prosthetic device.

The application of biomechanics in neuro-prosthetics extends beyond control to address issues of proprioception and feedback. Integrating sensory feedback into prosthetic devices, informed by biomechanical principles, enables users to experience a more natural sense of touch and limb position. This contributes to the embodiment of the prosthetic limb as an extension of the user's body, enhancing their overall quality of life.

In practical terms, individuals with limb loss can benefit from neuro-prosthetics that not only restore motor control but also provide a more holistic and immersive experience. As BCI technology continues to evolve, the collaboration between biomechanics and neuro-prosthetics holds promise for developing prosthetic limbs that not only mimic natural movements but also seamlessly integrate with the user's neuromuscular and biomechanical systems, ultimately redefining the possibilities for limb replacement and rehabilitation.

2. Improving User Experience and Functionality

Beyond the technical advancements, the synthesized insights from biomechanics and motor control in the context of BCIs have practical implications for improving the overall user experience and functionality of BCI systems. Integrating these insights allows for the development of more user-friendly interfaces, reducing the learning curve for individuals utilizing BCIs. Cano et al.'s (2023) exploration of user experience evaluation in interactive systems provides a valuable perspective on the importance of considering human factors in BCI design.

The optimization of BCI functionality extends to aspects beyond mere motor control. It encompasses refining the cognitive load on users, enhancing system responsiveness, and tailoring BCIs to individual user preferences. By leveraging biomechanical understanding, BCIs can be designed to align with natural movement patterns, minimizing cognitive effort and maximizing user comfort.

In practical terms, this means that BCIs can become more accessible and applicable in daily life scenarios. Users can seamlessly integrate BCI-controlled devices into their routine, whether it be for communication, mobility assistance, or other personalized applications. Moreover, advancements in user

experience contribute to the overall acceptance and adoption of BCIs, paving the way for their integration into mainstream healthcare and assistive technology frameworks.

In conclusion, the practical implications of optimizing BCIs based on insights from biomechanics and motor control are multifaceted. From revolutionizing prosthetic limb control to enhancing the overall user experience, these applications signify a paradigm shift towards more effective, user-centric, and seamlessly integrated BCI technologies. As researchers, clinicians, and engineers continue to harness these insights, the future holds promising advancements in the practical application and widespread adoption of BCIs across diverse contexts.

B. Utilization in Assistive Technologies

The integration of biomechanics and BCI technology extends its transformative influence to the domain of assistive technologies. This section explores the practical implications of these insights, particularly focusing on accessibility and usability enhancements that promise to revolutionize the landscape of assistive devices.

1. Accessibility and Usability Enhancements

The marriage of biomechanics and BCIs holds great promise for making assistive technologies more accessible and user-friendly. The key objective is to empower individuals with physical disabilities, providing them with tools that not only compensate for limitations but also seamlessly integrate into their daily lives.

A) Enhanced Control and Independence

BCIs offer individuals with severe motor impairments the ability to control devices and interfaces through direct neural communication. By leveraging biomechanical principles, BCIs can be fine-tuned to interpret neural signals associated with specific commands, facilitating greater precision in control. For example, the study by Shih et al. (2007) on a BCI-controlled orthotic hand exemplifies how individuals with limited mobility can gain greater independence in controlling assistive devices.

B) Customized User Interfaces

Understanding the biomechanics of movement allows for the creation of tailored user interfaces within assistive technologies. This personalization is particularly crucial given the diverse range of physical abilities among users. The work of Miller et al. (2019) on biomechanical modeling and computer simulation of the brain during neurosurgery showcases the potential for creating adaptive interfaces that cater to individual needs and capabilities.

C) Reduction of Cognitive Load

Biomechanical insights contribute to the design of assistive technologies that minimize cognitive load for users. Cano et al.'s (2023) research on using BCIs to evaluate user experience in interactive systems emphasizes the importance of designing interfaces that align with natural movements and thought processes. This not only enhances usability but also reduces the mental effort required for individuals to interact with assistive devices effectively.

D) Integration with Everyday Tasks

The fusion of biomechanics and BCI technology enables the development of assistive devices that seamlessly integrate with everyday tasks. Wang et al.'s (2018) work on the design and development of portable exo-skeleton for hand rehabilitation exemplifies how assistive technologies can be engineered to facilitate rehabilitation and enhance motor function. This integration is pivotal for fostering independence and promoting the inclusion of individuals with disabilities in various aspects of daily life.

In conclusion, the utilization of biomechanics in assistive technologies, coupled with BCI advancements, heralds a new era of accessibility and usability. By enhancing control precision, customizing interfaces, reducing cognitive load, and integrating seamlessly into daily activities, these technologies have the potential to redefine the autonomy and quality of life for individuals with physical disabilities. As researchers and engineers continue to refine these insights, the future promises a more inclusive and accessible world for everyone.

2. Tailoring BCIs for Specific Applications

A) Adaptive Communication Devices

Biomechanical insights play a pivotal role in tailoring BCIs to communication devices for individuals with conditions that limit verbal communication. Irimia et al.'s (2016) work on controlling a Functional Electrical Stimulation (FES) exo-skeleton rehabilitation system via BCIs demonstrates the potential for adapting communication interfaces for those with severe motor impairments. Customizing BCIs for communication involves deciphering neural signals associated with language intent and integrating predictive text algorithms, significantly improving communication speed and efficiency.

B) Neuro-prosthetics for Mobility Assistance

In the domain of mobility assistance, BCIs can be tailored to neuro-prosthetics that aid individuals with mobility impairments. Abdulkader et al. (2015) emphasizes the versatility of BCIs in applications ranging from neuro-prosthetic limbs to exo-skeletons. Biomechanical considerations become paramount in ensuring that these devices not only respond accurately to neural commands but also mimic natural movement patterns, providing users with seamless mobility experience.

C) Smart Home Control and Environmental Interaction

Tailoring BCIs for smart home control and environmental interaction involves understanding the biomechanics of gestures and intentional movements. Edlinger et al.'s (2009) exploration of BCIs for goal-oriented control of a virtual smart home environment exemplifies the potential for integrating BCIs into daily living. By decoding neural signals associated with specific gestures or commands, BCIs can enable individuals with physical disabilities to control various aspects of their home environment, enhancing autonomy and reducing dependence on external assistance.

D) Rehabilitation and Motor Function Recovery

BCIs can be finely tuned to aid in rehabilitation processes, tailoring interventions based on biomechanical assessments.

The work of Frolov et al. (2017) on post-stroke rehabilitation training with a BCI-controlled hand exoskeleton showcases how BCIs can contribute to motor function recovery. By customizing BCI protocols to target specific muscle groups and movement patterns, rehabilitation programs can be personalized to the unique biomechanical needs of each individual, optimizing the effectiveness of neurorehabilitation.

In essence, the tailoring of BCIs for specific applications within assistive technologies exemplifies the adaptability and versatility of this technology. By integrating biomechanical principles into the customization process, BCIs can be fine-tuned to address the unique challenges and requirements of diverse applications, paving the way for more effective and personalized solutions in communication, mobility assistance, smart home control, and rehabilitation. As these tailored applications continue to evolve, the synergy between biomechanics and BCIs holds immense promise for enhancing the quality of life for individuals with diverse assistive needs.

V. Challenges and Future Directions

A. *Current Challenges in Integrating Biomechanics and Motor Control in BCIs*

While the integration of biomechanics and motor control into Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) technology holds immense promise, it is not without its current challenges. Addressing these hurdles is crucial for realizing the full potential of BCIs in enhancing human-machine interaction and advancing applications in neuro-prosthetics and assistive technologies.

1. *Complexity of Neural Signal Decoding*

One of the primary challenges lies in the complexity of decoding neural signals with precision. The translation of neural activity into actionable commands for motor control requires sophisticated algorithms capable of discerning the nuanced patterns associated with specific movements. Abdulkader et al. (2015) highlight this challenge in their comprehensive review on BCIs, emphasizing the need for advanced signal processing techniques to enhance the accuracy and speed of neural decoding. As the field progresses, refining these algorithms to better capture the intricacies of biomechanically relevant neural signals remains a focal point.

2. *Individual Variability and Personalization*

Biomechanical processes and motor control mechanisms exhibit considerable variability among individuals. Tailoring BCIs to accommodate this diversity and ensuring personalized interfaces that align with each user's unique neural and biomechanical characteristics present significant challenges. The work of George and Lécuyer (2010) on passive BCIs for implicit human-computer interaction underscores the importance of addressing individual differences in BCI design. Overcoming this challenge involves developing adaptive systems that can dynamically adjust to the specific needs and capabilities of each user.

3. *Real-time Responsiveness and Latency*

The practical application of BCIs in real-time scenarios demands rapid responsiveness to user commands. However, challenges related to latency and processing speed persist. This becomes particularly critical in applications like neuro-prosthetics, where precise and instantaneous control is essential for fluid movement. The study by Frolov et al. (2017) on BCI-controlled hand exo-skeletons highlights the ongoing challenges in achieving low-latency BCI systems. Overcoming these obstacles requires advancements in both hardware and software components to ensure seamless integration into real-world tasks.

4. *User Training and Adaptation*

The efficacy of BCIs relies on users' ability to adapt and provide clear neural signals for decoding. User training and adaptation pose challenges, especially for individuals with motor impairments. Guger et al. (2003) discuss this challenge in their investigation of how many people can operate an EEG-based BCI. Simplifying training protocols and enhancing the adaptability of BCIs to diverse user capabilities are essential for broadening the accessibility and usability of this technology.

In conclusion, addressing the current challenges in integrating biomechanics and motor control into BCIs is crucial for unlocking their full potential. Advances in signal decoding algorithms, personalized interfaces, real-time responsiveness, and user training methodologies will pave the way for more effective, inclusive, and user-friendly BCIs in the future. As researchers and engineers tackle these challenges, the trajectory of BCIs is poised to shape a transformative future in neurotechnology and assistive applications.

Table 2 Challenges of integrating biomechanics and motor control into BCIs

Challenges	Description	Approach for Solution
Complexity of Neural Signal Decoding	Decoding neural activity into actionable commands for motor control is challenging, requiring advanced signal processing techniques to enhance accuracy and speed of neural decoding.	Develop and implement advanced signal processing algorithms, machine learning models, and neural network architectures for improved decoding.
Individual Variability and Personalization	Variability in biomechanical processes and motor control mechanisms among individuals necessitates personalized interfaces, posing challenges in accommodating diverse user characteristics.	Develop adaptive systems that dynamically adjust to individual user profiles, utilizing machine learning algorithms to tailor BCIs based on unique neural and biomechanical features.
Real-time Responsiveness and Latency	Achieving low-latency BCI systems is critical for applications like neuroprosthetics, demanding rapid responsiveness to user commands and overcoming challenges related to processing speed.	Invest in hardware advancements, optimize software components, and leverage real-time processing technologies to minimize latency and ensure seamless integration into real-world tasks.
User Training and Adaptation	The efficacy of BCIs relies on user adaptation and clear neural signals. Simplifying training protocols and enhancing adaptability for users, especially those with motor impairments, is essential.	Develop user-friendly training protocols, incorporate gamification and feedback mechanisms to motivate users, and explore neurofeedback strategies to enhance user adaptation.

B. Potential Future Advancements in the Field

The future of BCI at the intersection of biomechanics and motor control holds exciting prospects, with potential advancements poised to revolutionize the field. These innovations are not only anticipated to overcome current challenges but also open new avenues for enhancing human-machine interaction and applications in neuro-prosthetics and assistive technologies.

1. Neuroadaptive Interfaces:

Anticipated advancements include the development of neuroadaptive interfaces that dynamically adjust to users' changing neural patterns and biomechanical states. Leveraging machine learning algorithms and real-time neurofeedback, these interfaces could optimize BCI performance over time, accommodating individual variability and enhancing the adaptability of BCIs to users' evolving needs.

2. Closed-Loop Systems:

Future BCIs are expected to evolve toward closed-loop systems, integrating real-time feedback from the user and the external environment. This integration enables BCIs to respond dynamically to fluctuations in neural signals and biomechanical conditions, enhancing precision in motor control and minimizing the impact of external factors on BCI performance.

3. Biomechanically Informed Neural Decoding:

Advancements in decoding algorithms are anticipated to incorporate more detailed biomechanical information. By considering the biomechanical intricacies of movement, these algorithms could better translate neural signals into precise motor commands, improving the accuracy and naturalness of BCI-controlled movements, as demonstrated in studies by Barsotti et al. (2015) and Frolov et al. (2017).

4. Miniaturized and Wearable Technologies:

The future of BCIs envisions miniaturized and wearable technologies, facilitating seamless integration into users' daily lives. This includes compact and non-intrusive sensors, as well as wearable BCI devices that provide users with unobtrusive and continuous access to enhanced motor control, expanding the practical applications of BCIs beyond clinical settings.

5. Hybrid BCIs and Multimodal Integration:

Hybrid BCIs that combine multiple modalities, such as electro-encephalography (EEG) and electro-myography (EMG), are anticipated to become more prevalent. This multimodal integration offers a richer source of information for decoding neural signals, enhancing the versatility and reliability of BCIs across diverse applications, as discussed by Sun et al. (2020).

In summary, the future of BCIs at the crossroads of biomechanics and motor control promises a paradigm shift with neuroadaptive interfaces, closed-loop systems, biomechanically informed decoding, miniaturized wearables, and hybrid multimodal approaches. These advancements are poised to propel BCIs into mainstream applications, offering enhanced control, accessibility, and usability for individuals across various neurotechnological and assistive domains. As researchers continue to push the boundaries of innovation, the envisioned future holds transformative possibilities for the seamless integration of BCIs into the fabric of daily life.

Table 3

Potential Advancement	Current Status and Limitation	Related Technology Innovations
Neuroadaptive Interfaces	Current BCIs lack dynamic adaptability to changing neural patterns and user states.	Machine learning algorithms for real-time neurofeedback, enabling BCIs to dynamically adjust to users.
Closed-Loop Systems	BCIs operate primarily in open-loop configurations, limiting real-time responsiveness to users' needs.	Integration of real-time feedback mechanisms, creating closed-loop systems for dynamic BCI responses.
Biomechanically Informed Neural Decoding	Existing decoding algorithms may not fully consider biomechanical intricacies, impacting movement precision.	Advancements in decoding algorithms to incorporate detailed biomechanical information for improved accuracy.
Miniaturized and Wearable Technologies	Current BCI technologies often involve cumbersome setups and intrusive sensors.	Development of miniaturized and wearable BCI devices, offering unobtrusive and continuous user access.
Hybrid BCIs and Multimodal Integration	BCIs often rely on a single modality, limiting the richness of information for decoding neural signals.	Integration of multiple modalities (e.g., EEG and EMG) in hybrid BCIs, enhancing versatility and reliability.

6. Emerging Technologies

The landscape of BCI within the realm of biomechanics and motor control is witnessing the emergence of transformative technologies that are poised to shape the future of neuro-technological advancements.

A) Neuralink and Invasive BCIs:

One of the most notable emerging technologies is Neuralink, founded by Elon Musk. Neuralink focuses on developing invasive BCIs that directly interface with the brain's neural activity. This technology aims to achieve unprecedented precision and data bandwidth, potentially overcoming some of the current limitations associated with non-invasive BCIs. As Neuralink progresses, it opens new frontiers for seamless integration between the human brain and external devices, paving the way for enhanced motor control and biomechanical applications.

B) Neurostimulation and Closed-Loop Systems:

Advancements in neurostimulation technologies contribute to the development of closed-loop BCI systems. Closed-loop systems, such as those explored in the work of Frolov et al. (2017), involve bidirectional communication between the BCI and the user, allowing for real-time adjustments based on neural feedback. Emerging neurostimulation techniques, including optogenetics and transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), offer innovative ways to modulate neural activity, further refining closed-loop BCIs and enhancing their responsiveness.

C) Neuromorphic Engineering:

Neuromorphic engineering, inspired by the structure and function of the human brain, is gaining prominence. This approach involves the development of hardware architectures that mimic neural networks. Neuromorphic chips, such as IBM's TrueNorth, hold potential for accelerating the processing speed of BCIs while consuming minimal power. This technology

could significantly enhance the efficiency of neural signal decoding, advancing the capabilities of BCIs in the biomechanical domain.

7. Areas for Further Research and Development

In the pursuit of advancing BCIs at the intersection of biomechanics and motor control, several key areas warrant focused research and development efforts to unlock new possibilities and address existing challenges.

A) Enhanced Signal Processing Algorithms

Developing more sophisticated signal processing algorithms remains a crucial area for research. Advancements in machine learning, particularly deep learning architectures, can contribute to the creation of algorithms capable of decoding complex biomechanical signals with higher accuracy. This can significantly improve the precision and reliability of BCIs in translating neural intentions into precise motor commands.

B) Biomechanically Informed Neurofeedback

Integrating real-time biomechanical feedback into BCIs can enhance user adaptation and control. Research efforts should explore how incorporating biomechanical information, such as muscle activity and joint angles, into neurofeedback mechanisms can create more intuitive and adaptive BCIs. This approach aligns with the goal of achieving natural and seamless motor control in BCI applications.

C) User-Centric Design and Usability:

Further research is needed to optimize the user experience and usability of BCIs. This involves designing user-friendly interfaces, exploring intuitive control paradigms, and addressing issues related to user training and adaptation. Human-centered design principles should guide the development of BCIs to ensure they align with users' needs, preferences, and capabilities.

In conclusion, the convergence of emerging technologies, such as Neuralink, neurostimulation advancements, and neuromorphic engineering, alongside targeted research in signal processing, neurofeedback, and user-centric design, holds the key to unlocking the full potential of BCIs in biomechanics and motor control. By navigating these frontiers, researchers and engineers can pave the way for BCIs to become more powerful, adaptable, and seamlessly integrated into human functionality.

VI. Conclusion

A. Summary of Key Findings

In this comprehensive review at the intersection of biomechanics, motor control, and brain-computer interfaces (BCIs), we have navigated through a landscape of insights that illuminate the current state and future possibilities of this dynamic field. Summarizing key findings offers a cohesive perspective on the intricate relationship between neural signals, biomechanical processes, and the evolution of BCIs.

1. Biomechanics in Neural Signal Translation

A central theme explored in this review is the biomechanical underpinnings of neural signal translation. Understanding the intricate relationship between neural signals and biomechanical processes is fundamental to deciphering the complexities of motor control within the context of BCIs. The studies by Barsotti et al. (2015) and Frolov et al. (2017) provided valuable insights into how decoding algorithms can be enhanced by considering biomechanical intricacies, ultimately improving the precision of BCI-controlled movements.

2. Motor Control Mechanisms

The exploration of motor control mechanisms, encompassing both traditional theories and adaptations for BCIs, sheds light on the intricate orchestration required for translating neural intentions into physical actions. Recognizing the limitations of current BCIs and the challenges in real-time responsiveness emphasizes the need for innovations, as indicated by studies from the list of references.

3. Practical Implications and Applications

The practical implications of insights derived from this synthesis extend into applications such as neuro-prosthetics and assistive technologies. From enhancing prosthetic limb control to improving overall user experience, the reviewed literature underscores the transformative potential of biomechanically-informed BCIs in real-world scenarios.

4. Challenges and Future Directions

Acknowledging the challenges faced in integrating biomechanics and motor control into BCIs is crucial for charting future directions. From the complexity of neural signal decoding to the need for personalized interfaces, current challenges provide a roadmap for future research and development. The emergence of technologies like Neuralink, neurostimulation advancements, and neuromorphic engineering promises groundbreaking solutions and opportunities for further exploration.

5. Future Advancements

The landscape of BCIs is poised for remarkable advancements. Neuroadaptive interfaces, biomechanically informed decoding, miniaturized wearables, and hybrid multimodal approaches represent the next frontier. Emerging technologies and ongoing research in signal processing, neurofeedback, and user-centric design will collectively shape the future trajectory of BCIs in biomechanics and motor control.

In conclusion, this review encapsulates a nuanced understanding of the intricate interplay between biomechanics, motor control, and BCIs. By synthesizing current knowledge and envisioning future possibilities, this exploration sets the stage for researchers, clinicians, and engineers to contribute to the evolution of BCIs, driving innovation and transformative applications in the realm of neurotechnology. As we celebrate the progress made in the past year, we anticipate an exciting journey ahead, marked by continuous discovery and advancements at the forefront of brain-computer interface technology.

B. Significance of The Reviewed Insights

The insights gathered from this comprehensive review carry profound significance for researchers, clinicians, and engineers

alike, offering a roadmap for advancing the field of BCIs in tandem with biomechanics and motor control.

1. For Researchers

Researchers gain a nuanced understanding of the intricate interplay between biomechanics and neural signals in the context of BCIs. The review highlights the importance of refining decoding algorithms, integrating real-time feedback, and considering biomechanical intricacies for enhanced precision in BCI-controlled movements. This insight serves as a catalyst for further exploration into advanced signal processing, neuroadaptive interfaces, and closed-loop systems. The reviewed literature also underscores the potential of emerging technologies such as Neuralink and neuromorphic engineering, inspiring researchers to delve into cutting-edge solutions for optimizing BCI functionality.

2. For Clinicians

Clinicians stand to benefit from the practical implications outlined in the review, particularly in the realm of neuro-prosthetics and assistive technologies. The synthesis of current knowledge provides clinicians with valuable insights into optimizing prosthetic limb control, improving user experience, and tailoring BCIs to specific applications. Understanding the challenges in user training and adaptation encourages clinicians to explore innovative rehabilitation protocols that enhance the efficacy of BCI interventions. As BCIs transition from experimental settings to practical applications, clinicians can leverage these insights to tailor interventions that address individual patient needs and contribute to improved clinical outcomes.

3. For Engineers

Engineers involved in BCI development find guidance in the review's emphasis on miniaturization, wearability, and hybrid multimodal approaches. The significance of considering biomechanical information in neural decoding algorithms directs engineers toward creating more efficient and natural interfaces. The call for user-centric design principles motivates engineers to prioritize usability and user experience, facilitating the seamless integration of BCIs into users' daily lives. The review also underscores the importance of collaborative efforts between engineers and researchers, encouraging interdisciplinary approaches to tackle challenges and push the boundaries of BCI technology.

C. Regulatory and Policy Implications

The culmination of insights presented in this review serves as a call to action, urging the collaborative efforts of researchers, clinicians, and engineers to propel the field of BCIs forward.

1. Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Collaboration between diverse disciplines is essential for addressing the multifaceted challenges and unlocking the full potential of BCIs. Researchers, clinicians, and engineers should engage in interdisciplinary collaboration to foster a holistic approach to BCI development. By combining expertise in neuroscience, biomechanics, signal processing, and engineering, teams can innovate more effectively and overcome current limitations.

2. User-Centric Research

Future research should adopt a user-centric approach, prioritizing the needs and experiences of individuals interacting with BCIs. This involves refining user training protocols, optimizing neurofeedback mechanisms, and tailoring BCIs to accommodate diverse user profiles. Collaborative efforts should strive to create BCIs that seamlessly integrate into users' lives, enhancing accessibility and usability across various applications.

3. Advanced Signal Processing and Technology Integration

The call to action extends to the development of advanced signal processing algorithms and the integration of emerging technologies. Researchers and engineers are encouraged to explore novel decoding approaches, such as neuroadaptive interfaces and closed-loop systems, leveraging the capabilities of technologies like Neuralink and neuromorphic engineering. This collaborative pursuit will contribute to the evolution of BCIs beyond their current capabilities.

4. Translation from Lab to Real-World Applications

Efforts should be directed towards translating BCI advancements from laboratory settings to real-world applications. Clinicians, in collaboration with engineers and researchers, play a pivotal role in bridging this gap. Real-world implementation requires addressing practical challenges, ensuring user-friendly designs, and optimizing the integration of BCIs into everyday life. This translational focus will bring the benefits of BCIs to a broader population, including individuals with motor impairments and those seeking enhanced control in various contexts.

The call to action is a collective appeal for sustained collaboration, innovation, and user-focused research in the dynamic realm of BCIs. By embracing this call, researchers, clinicians, and engineers can collectively contribute to unlocking the transformative potential of BCIs, ushering in a new era of neurotechnological advancements with broad societal impact.

REFERENCES

[1] Abdulkader, Sarah N., et al. "Brain Computer Interfacing: Applications and Challenges". *Egyptian Informatics Journal*, vol. 16, no. 2, Elsevier, 2015, pp. 213–230.

[2] Abiri, Reza, et al. "A Comprehensive Review of EEG-Based Brain--Computer Interface Paradigms". *Journal of Neural Engineering*, vol. 16, no. 1, IOP Publishing, 2019, p. 011001.

[3] Barsotti, Michele, et al. "A Full Upper Limb Robotic Exoskeleton for Reaching and Grasping Rehabilitation Triggered by MI-BCI". 2015 *IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics* (ICORR), IEEE, 2015, pp. 49–54.

[4] Belkacem, Abdelkader Nasreddine, et al. "Brain Computer Interfaces for Improving the Quality of Life of Older Adults and Elderly Patients". *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, vol. 14, Frontiers Media SA, 2020, p. 692.

[5] Biryukova, E. V., et al. "Recovery of the Motor Function of the Arm with the Aid of a Hand Exoskeleton Controlled by a Brain--Computer Interface in a Patient with an Extensive Brain Lesion". *Human Physiology*, vol. 42, Springer, 2016, pp. 13–23.

[6] Blankertz, Benjamin, et al. “The Berlin Brain-Computer Interface: EEG-Based Communication without Subject Training”. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, vol. 14, no. 2, IEEE, 2006, pp. 147–152.

[7] Cano, Sandra, et al. “Using Brain-Computer Interface to Evaluate the User eXperience in Interactive Systems”. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering: Imaging & Visualization*, vol. 11, no. 3, Taylor & Francis, 2023, pp. 378–386.

[8] Cheng, Nicholas, et al. “Brain-Computer Interface-Based Soft Robotic Glove Rehabilitation for Stroke”. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 67, no. 12, IEEE, 2020, pp. 3339–3351.

[9] Dzhalagoniya, I., et al. “Biomechanical Assessment of Fugl-Meyer Score: The Case of One Post Stroke Patient Who Has Undergone the Rehabilitation Using Hand Exoskeleton Controlled by Brain-Computer Interface”. *Int. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil*, vol. 6, no. 468, 2018, p. 2.

[10] Edlinger, Gunter, et al. “Brain-Computer Interfaces for Goal Orientated Control of a Virtual Smart Home Environment”. *2009 4th International IEEE/EMBS Conference on Neural Engineering*, IEEE, 2009, pp. 463–465.

[11] Frisoli, Antonio, et al. “Positive Effects of Robotic Exoskeleton Training of Upper Limb Reaching Movements after Stroke”. *Journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation*, vol. 9, no. 1, BioMed Central, 2012, pp. 1–16.

[12] Frolov, A. A., E. V. Biryukova, et al. “Principles of Neurorehabilitation Based on the Brain-Computer Interface and Biologically Adequate Control of the Exoskeleton”. *Human Physiology*, vol. 39, Springer, 2013, pp. 196–208.

[13] Frolov, A. A., I. B. Kozlovskaya, et al. “Use of Robotic Devices in Post-Stroke Rehabilitation”. *Neuroscience and Behavioral Physiology*, vol. 48, Springer, 2018, pp. 1053–1066.

[14] Frolov, A. A., et al. “Preliminary Results of a Controlled Study of BCI--Exoskeleton Technology Efficacy in Patients with Poststroke Arm Paresis”. *Bulletin of Russian State Medical University*, no. 2, Государственное бюджетное образовательное учреждение высшего …, 2016, pp. 16–23.

[15] Frolov, Alexander A., Dušan Húsek, et al. “Principles of Motor Recovery in Post-Stroke Patients Using Hand Exoskeleton Controlled by the Brain-Computer Interface Based on Motor Imagery”. *Neural Network World*, vol. 27, no. 1, Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Transportation Sciences, 2017, p. 107.

[16] Frolov, Alexander A., Olesya Mokienko, et al. “Post-Stroke Rehabilitation Training with a Motor-Imagery-Based Brain-Computer Interface (BCI)-Controlled Hand Exoskeleton: A Randomized Controlled Multicenter Trial”. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, vol. 11, Frontiers Media SA, 2017, p. 400.

[17] George, Laurent, and Anatole Lécuyer. “An Overview of Research on "Passive" Brain-Computer Interfaces for Implicit Human-Computer Interaction”. *International Conference on Applied Bionics and Biomechanics* (ICABB) 2010 -- *Workshop W1 "Brain-Computer Interfacing and Virtual Reality"*, 2010.

[18] Gordleeva, S. Yu, et al. “Exoskeleton Control System Based on Motor-Imaginary Brain--Computer Interface”. *Современные Технологии в Медицине*, vol. 9, no. 3 (eng), ФГБОУ ВО \guillemotleftНижегородская государственная медицинская академия\guillemotright Министерства …, 2017, pp. 31–36.

[19] Guger, Christoph, et al. “How Many People Are Able to Operate An EEG-based Brain-Computer Interface (BCI)?” *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, vol. 11, no. 2, IEEE, 2003, pp. 145–147.

[20] Hochberg, Leigh R., and John P. Donoghue. “Sensors for Brain-Computer Interfaces”. *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine*, vol. 25, no. 5, IEEE, 2006, pp. 32–38.

[21] Irimia, Danut C., et al. “Controlling a FES-EXOSKELETON Rehabilitation System by Means of Brain-Computer Interface”. 2016 *International Conference and Exposition on Electrical and Power Engineering* (EPE), IEEE, 2016, pp. 352–355.

[22] King, Christine E., et al. “Noninvasive Brain-Computer Interface Driven Hand Orthosis”. 2011 *Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, IEEE, 2011, pp. 5786–5789.

[23] Kotov, S. V., et al. “Rehabilitation of Stroke Patients with a Bioengineered "Brain-Computer Interface with Exoskeleton" System”. Neuroscience and Behavioral Physiology, vol. 46, Springer, 2016, pp. 518–522.

[24] Landes, Jonathan Carl. *Intrinsic and Extrinsic Biomechanical Factors in A Co-Adaptive ECoG-based Brain Computer Interface*. Washington University in St. Louis, 2017.

[25] Lee, Chung-Heon, et al. “A Study on EEG Based Concentration Power Index Transmission and Brain Computer Interface Application”. *World Congress on Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering*, September 7-12, 2009, Munich, Germany: Vol. 25/4 Image Processing, Biosignal Processing, Modelling and Simulation, Biomechanics, Springer, 2010, pp. 537–539.

[26] Leuthardt, Eric C., et al. “Electrocorticography-Based Brain Computer Interface-the Seattle Experience”. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, vol. 14, no. 2, IEEE, 2006, pp. 194–198.

[27] Menghani, Ritika R., et al. “A Sensor-Enabled Cloud-Based Computing Platform for Computational Brain Biomechanics”. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 233, Elsevier, 2023, p. 107470.

[28] Miller, K. *Biomechanics of Brain for Computer Integrated Surgery*. Publishing House of the Warsaw University of Technology Warsaw, 2002.

[29] Miller, Karol, et al. “Biomechanical Modeling and Computer Simulation of the Brain during Neurosurgery”. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 35, no. 10, Wiley Online Library, 2019, p. e3250.

[30] Mohandas, K. P. “EEG Signal Processing and Brain Computer Interfacing”. *Research Activities at the Centre for Biomechanics*, Citeseer, 2007.

[31] Mokienko, O. A., et al. “Brain-Computer Interface: The First Experience of Clinical Use in Russia”. *Human Physiology*, vol. 42, Springer, 2016, pp. 24–31.

[32] Osuagwu, Bethel A., et al. “Is Implicit Motor Imagery a Reliable Strategy for a Brain-Computer Interface?” *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, vol. 25, no. 12, IEEE, 2017, pp. 2239–2248.

[33] Pineda, Jaime A., et al. “The Effects of Self-Movement, Observation, and Imagination on/Spl Mu/Rhythms and Readiness Potentials (RP’s): Toward a Brain-Computer Interface (BCI)”. *IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering*, vol. 8, no. 2, IEEE, 2000, pp. 219–222.

[34] Scherer, Reinhold, et al. “Interaction with Virtual and Augmented Reality Environments Using Non-Invasive Brain-Computer Interfacing”. *1st International Conference on Applied Bionics and Biomechanics* (October 2010), 2010.

[35] Shih, Ming-Chih, et al. “A Study of Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) Controlled Orthotic Hand (3B2 Orthopaedic & Rehabilitation Biomechanics VI)”. *The Proceedings of the Asian Pacific Conference on Biomechanics: Emerging Science and Technology in Biomechanics* 2007.3, The Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers, 2007, p. S190.

[36] Simeral, John D., et al. “Home Use of a Percutaneous Wireless Intracortical Brain-Computer Interface by Individuals with Tetraplegia”. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 68, no. 7, IEEE, 2021, pp. 2313–2325.

[37] Sun, Zhe, et al. “A Novel Multimodal Approach for Hybrid Brain-Computer Interface”. *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, IEEE, 2020, pp. 89909–89918.

[38] Wang, Duojin, et al. “Design and Development of a Portable Exoskeleton for Hand Rehabilitation”. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, vol. 26, no. 12, IEEE, 2018, pp. 2376–2386.

[39] Xu, Ren, et al. “A Closed-Loop Brain-Computer Interface Triggering An Active Ankle-Foot Orthosis for Inducing Cortical Neural Plasticity”. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 61, no. 7, IEEE, 2014, pp. 2092–2101.